Application No. Applicant(s) 10/675,689 KUO ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Neil R. McLean 2625 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Neil R. McLean. (3) John Garred (applicant's representative). (2) King Poon SPE. (4)_____. Date of Interview: 18 January, 2008.

2) applicant's representative

e)⊠ No.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was

Identification of prior art discussed: Owa et al. (US 6,348,971) in view of well known prior art.

Agreement with respect to the claims f(x) = x + x + y = x +

reached, or any other comments: We discussed the invention, claim language, and prior art.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes

Claim(s) discussed: 1-3,5,7-12,14,16-20,22,24-26,28,and 30.

If Yes, brief description: ___

c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant